

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	PLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/615,677	07/08/2003		Bradley J. Anderson	200300676-1	9829	
22879	7590	02/23/2006		EXAMINER		
		ARD COMPANY	BUI, LUAN KIM			
	•)4 E. HARMONY RO OPERTY ADMINIS	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		80527-2400	3728			

DATE MAILED: 02/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/615,67	10/615,677 ANDERSON ET AL.			
Office Action	Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
		Luan K. Bu	i	3728	
The MAILING DATE Period for Reply	of this communication ap	ppears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	lress
A SHORTENED STATUTO WHICHEVER IS LONGER - Extensions of time may be available after SIX (6) MONTHS from the ma - If NO period for reply is specified at - Failure to reply within the set or ext Any reply received by the Office late earned patent term adjustment. Se	FROM THE MAILING Is under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 ling date of this communication. Hove, the maximum statutory period ended period for reply will, by statuer than three months after the mailing.	DATE OF TH 1.136(a). In no even d will apply and will ute, cause the appli	IS COMMUNICATION ont, however, may a reply be time expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cation to become ABANDONE	I. ely filed the mailing date of this con C (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•
Status					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL 3) ☐ Since this application	unication(s) filed on <u>30.</u> 2b) The is in condition for allowed with the practice under	nis action is no vance except f	on-final. For formal matters, pro		merits is
Disposition of Claims					
5) Claim(s) 6-8,12 and 6) Claim(s) 5,32 is/are of the following of the specification is of the following of the drawing (s) filed of the following of the specificant may not require the specificant m	is/are withdraga-33-35 is/are allowed. ejected. e objected to. ubject to restriction and/ ojected to by the Examination is/are: a) \[\] accept accept that any objection to the sheet(s) including the corre	rawn from con /or election re- ner. ccepted or b)[le drawing(s) be ection is require	sideration. quirement. objected to by the Ender the description of t	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFF	` '
					3 102.
2. Certified copie 3. Copies of the	nade of a claim for foreign in the International Bures nade of a claim for foreign in the priority documents national Bures national Bures	nts have beer nts have beer iority docume au (PCT Rule	received. received in Applicationts have been received 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National S	Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTC2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent 3) Information Disclosure Statemer Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Drawing Review (PTO-948)	~,	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te	152)

Application/Control Number: 10/615,677

Art Unit: 3728

1

Continued Prosecution Application

1. The Request for Continued Examination (RCE) filed on 1/30/2006 under 37 CFR 1.114 based on parent Application No. 10/615,677 is acceptable and a RCE has been established. An action on the RCE follows.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 5 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

 Nakamura (6,546,210) in view of Honma et al. (5,373,718; hereinafter Honma'718) and

 Guckenberger (5,630,308). Nakamura discloses a ream of print medium in a media wrapper (50)

 comprising a quantity of print medium such as paper (1) and a wrapper/wrapping means

 containing the quantity of print medium and the wrapper having a first end (51), a second end

 (52) and a perforation/separating means (53) disposed between the first and second ends and

 configured to split the wrapper to separate the first and second ends such that the first end is

 removed to expose the quantity of print medium. Nakamura further discloses a placement

 indicator (59) comprises a label and the wrapper (50) is made of vinyl sheet or hard resin

 (column 12, lines 22-24). Nakamura also discloses the other limitations of the claims except for

 a pull-tab being configured to split the perforation and the pull-tab comprises an extruded portion

 of the wrapper.

Application/Control Number: 10/615,677

Art Unit: 3728

Honma'718 shows a package (10) containing an article (12) comprising a wrapper (11) having a severing tape (13) configured to split the wrapper and the severing tape including a pull-tab (16, 16a) (Figures 3-4). Guckenberger teaches a package (600') comprising a wrapper (600) having a separating means such as perforations (125, 125') configured to split the wrapper and the separating means including a pull-tab (626') comprises an extruded portion of the wrapper (column 6, lines 65-67 and Figures 6-7). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art in view of Honma'718 and Guckenberger to modify the wrapper of Nakamura so the perforation includes a pull-tab as taught by Honma'718 to facilitate removing the wrapper and the pull-tab comprises an extruded portion of the wrapper as taught by Guckenberger so the wrapper and the pull-tab are formed during manufacture the wrapper to reduce the cost.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 6-8, 12 and 33-35 are allowed since the prior art of record fails to teach or suggest the nap of the print media refers to differing surface textures of the print media as indicates by paragraph 0020 of the specification of the instant patent application.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to 1/30/2006 have been considered but are deemed to be most in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/615,677

Art Unit: 3728

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Luan K. Bui whose telephone number is 571-272-4552. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mickey Yu can be reached on 571-272-4562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300 for Formal papers and After Final communications.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

lkb February 17, 2006 Luan K. Bui Primary Examiner Art Unit 3728

Page 4

T. 14. 27/